

LUC-438/Benco 33-24-24-27

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 22 2007

Remarks

Claims 1-27 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 9, 16, and 24 are amended, claim 22 was previously amended, claims 10-15, and 17-20 are original, claims 1-8, 21, and 23 are cancelled and claims 25-27 were previously presented. These amendments to the claims constitute a bona fide attempt by applicants to advance prosecution of the application and obtain allowance of certain claims, and are in no way meant to acquiesce to the substance of the rejections. Support for the amendments can be found throughout the specification, figures, and claims.

The Examiner rejected claims 9-20, 24-27 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by White (20040203955).

The Examiner rejected claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White (20040203955) and further in view of Sawyer et al (5946629).

The specification of the present application states as follows:

"Also as depicted in Fig. 1, this embodiment of the system for input of events and subsequent event notification to at least one mobile handset 108 may have a network 100 operatively connected to at least a public data network communication system 110 and to the at least one mobile handset 108. The network 100 may have an input module 112 operatively connected to the public data network communication system 110, a conversion module 114 at least operatively connected to the input module 112; and a communication module 116 at least operatively connected to the conversion module 114 and to the at least one mobile handset 108. When a computer generated message 120, which is related to an event 118, is inputted from the public data network communication system 110, the computer generated message 120 is

I.UC-438/Benco 33-24-24-27

converted to a notification message 122 in SMS form, and the notification message 122 is automatically sent in SMS form from the network 100 to the at least one mobile handset 108.

In a further embodiment the input module 112 may have a recognition module 124 for recognizing that the computer generated message 120 is related to an event 118, and an accepting module 126 for accepting the event 118 as an input to the network 100. The event 118, for example, may have an information part 111; and a designation part 113 that designates a mobile handset 108. The designation part 113 of the event 110 may be representative of a mobile handset designation, and the information part 111 of the event 118 may be representative of a valid event format. After inputting of the computer generated message 120 that is related to an event 118, the network 100 in one embodiment automatically converts the computer generated message 120 to a notification message 122 in SMS form and automatically delivers the notification message 122 in SMS form to the designated mobile handset 108."

As can be seen from Figure 1 of the present application, all SMS messaging occurs only in the network, and the event and the computer generated message are formulated only in the public data network communication system. Each of the independent claims has been amended to clearly incorporate this feature.

Also, the network and the public data network communication system are clearly shown as separate entities and are not arbitrarily divided. Furthermore, it is clear that the computer generated messages do not come from any component of the network.

White, in paragraph 15, teaches that "FIG. 1 is a diagram of an embodiment of a wireless communication system 100. The system 100 is arbitrarily divided into two areas. Area 104 includes equipment and applications ("provider equipment") typically provided and maintained by a wireless communication service provider, such as a cellular phone service provider. Area

LUC-438/Benco 33-24-24-27

102 includes equipment and applications (with the exception of radio tower 116) that are typically not provided or maintained by the provider, but are designed to communicate on a wireless network with the provider equipment."

Thus, White does not anticipate the present invention as claimed in the amended claims. For example, White does not teach the non-arbitrary division of the network and the public data network communication system.

The dependent claims are believed allowable for the same reasons as the respective independent claims upon which they depend, as well as for their own additional characterizations.

For all the reasons presented above, the claims are believed neither anticipated nor obvious over the art of record. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections is therefore respectfully requested. In view of the above remarks, allowance of all claims pending is respectfully requested.

LUC-438/Benco 33-24-24-27

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 22 2007

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to be of general interest only. This application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and such action at an early date is earnestly solicited. If a telephone conference would be of assistance in advancing the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call applicant's attorney.

Respectfully submitted,


John R. Garrett
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 27,888

Dated: June 22, 2007

CARMEN B. PATTI & ASSOCIATES, LLC
Customer Number 47382